

Support Public Broadcasting Coalition

Virtual Roundtable on Public Broadcasting

Duduetsang Makuse National Coordinator info@soscoalition.org.za

About SOS Coalition



The SOS Coalition represents a broad spectrum of civil society stakeholders; independent film and TV production sector organisations including the South African Screen Federation (SASFED); NGOs and CBOs including the Freedom of Expression Institute (FXI) and Media Monitoring Africa (MMA), and a number of academics and freedom of expression activists.



The SOS Coalition envisages a public broadcaster that strengthens the goals of the South African Constitution, including socio-economic rights.

Campaigns for **an SABC with institutional autonomy that** is independent of commercial, government & partypolitical interests.

Campaigns for SABC programming to be under-pinned by the **principles of credibility**, **reliability**, **variety and balance** and, further, for programming to be challenging and informative.

Campaigns for the full range of South African opinions to be reflected including, those views traditionally marginalised by the commercial media.

Campaigns for the SABC to lead the broadcasting sector on African language and local content programming.





- The digital public service media ecosystem
- Independent, converged regulator
- Funding for public broadcasting
- Migration to digital broadcasting
- Separation of powers of governance

- The SOS Principles
- What are the sustainable ways to move the SABC into the future

A digital public service media ecosystem



- The continuation of three tiers of broadcast services (public, commercial and community) within the audio and audio-visual content, services and infrastructure ecosystem -
- A shift away from the traditional public service broadcasting (PSB) to an integrated public service media (PSM) environment, in which public services and public-interest content are made widely available across an integrated multiplatform media space.
- The SABC needs to ensure that all its programming is made available for free across its many radio stations, television channels and on-line platforms.
- The reality of convergence leads to the provision of digitised content over a range of integrated, interconnected and interoperable ICT platforms. An integrated sector requires a single Ministry and a single regulator.
- PSM Content: meets its obligations to entertain, educate and inform, reflects the full range of public opinion, with specific focus on views historically marginalised by the commercial media

Independent, converged regulator



- All audio, and audio-visual content, broadcasting and broadcasting-like services, signal distribution and frequency spectrum, must be regulated by a single, converged, independent body, free of commercial and political interference.
- It should be re-constituted as a Chapter 9 institution regulating all aspects of communications.
 - Its ambiguous position as a Chapter 9 institution must be rectified (specific inclusions in sections 181, 193 and 194), thereby better safeguarding its independence.
 - The Regulator must have increased funding for it to monitor and regulate effectively
 - The Regulator should retain its licence and administrative fees.
 - The Regulators independent research capacity -to enable meaningful in micro-policy development.
- The current content regulation regime, where regulation and co-regulation are technology-specific now unworkable in the era of convergence.
- We need to develop a new co-regulatory scheme for audio and audio-visual content services across all platforms, including: print, cinema, broadcasting, Internet and broadband, OTT and streaming services.

Funding for public broadcasting



- The struggle to create an independent and sustainable public broadcaster has been undermined by systemic corporate governance and financial management failures at the SABC over the last two decades.
- Parliament must ensure that the SABC has sufficient public funding to pursue its public service programming mandate, so that editorial independence is safeguarded, along with the longterm independence, sustainability, viability and effectiveness of public broadcasting.
- In addition, there are fundamental market structure problems that must be addressed by policy-makers and regulators

Funding for public broadcasting



- SOS supports these key funding and market regulation principles:
- A mixed funding model for the SABC including advertising, sponsorships, licence fees (to be renamed Public Broadcasting Fees)
 - Parliamentary appropriations: be significantly increased to 60% of budget by 2023 in line with repeated ruling party resolutions and be ring-fenced to support unfunded educational, news and heath/Covid-19-related programming with a focus on meeting the developmental needs of the population
 - Local Content Fund: established and financed from a range of sources to ensure the production of good quality local public service content across a range of platforms: commercial, public and community.
 - The **segmentation** into "public" and "public-commercial" divisions should be terminated through policy and legislative changes so that **all channels are** "**public**", and are obliged to comply with the Charter, and carry public service mandates. The public service channel (SABC 1) generates more money than the public-commercial channel (SABC 3).
- The SABC must spend the **majority of its funds on programming not** on management and overheads).

Funding for public broadcasting



- SOS proposes the following Pro-competition and pro-public principles:
- DStv has a dominant position, in the entire television sector. This negatively affects the viability
 of all other television operators, whether public or commercial. Therefore, policy, law and
 regulation must ensure that DStv:
 - Dstv Pays commercial rates for the SABC channels it carries as part of its "must carry" obligations;
 - Dstv Collects the public broadcasting fee from each of its subscribers and pays this over (monthly or annually as the case may be) to the SABC;
 - Has a sliding scale of allowable advertising revenue to protect the viability of FTA television broadcasters without a subscriber revenue stream;
 - Dstv treat its decoder platform as an essential facility, and make it interoperable, and available to other subscription broadcasters at a reasonable, cost-based access fee in order to facilitate competition.
- Develop policies to ensure that audio-visual content services delivered over the internet such
 as Amazon Prime, Netflix, Facebook and Google to contribute to our economy via
 appropriate taxation mechanisms which contribute to local content production

Migration to digital broadcasting





- The digital migration needs to deliver the digital dividend,
- Above all it must protect access by the public to free-to-air, public broadcasting,

- Impact of delays in Digital Switchover:
- Changed market and technological circumstances
- In our view, that a full transition to a digital terrestrial television (DTT) environment as originally envisaged is possibly no longer an option.

Migration to digital broadcasting Continued...



- This reappraisal needs to be governed by the following objectives:
 - To provide universal affordable access for all to digital television infrastructure, services and content;
 - To safeguard access for all to public service television broadcasting and public interest content, including via the imposition of must-carry obligations;
 - To deliver a wide choice of high-quality content, newsworthy, entertaining, informative, educational and culturally enriching;
 - To support the poor, the disadvantaged, those on social grants and in remote areas, so that they are able to afford and benefit from the transition to digital platforms,
 - To manage the digital dividend so as to ensure optimal utilisation of the spectrum thus released, and to fund local media for the benefit of the public as a whole;

Migration to digital broadcasting Continued...



- A fundamental and urgent review of the entire broadcasting digital migration project is essential, with the following options placed under consideration:
- the shelving in its entirety of the entire digital terrestrial television project, and its replacement with the delivery of all television services and content by means of digital satellite, in combination with fibre optic broadband;
- the restriction of digital terrestrial television services and content to the more cost-effective, affluent urban areas, supplemented by digital satellite television in the more remote and rural areas.
- SOS supports the migration of all existing broadcasting services, radio and television, to digital platforms, including OTT, FTTx, DTT, DAB+ and DRM.
- The opportunities presented by the increasing rollout of high-speed, high-capacity broadband are massive. For the future, Government needs to ensure that all people in South Africa have access to fast, cheap reliable broadband internet

The Separation of Powers: PPCC



- A historical legacy of Parliamentary inaction, state capture and Ministerial interference in the SABC board and management affairs has seen the SABC in crisis for much of the past two decades.
- Parliament exercises a legislative and oversight role, holding the SABC accountable through its independent Board for corporate plans and finances and performance.
- The PPCC needs to Implement the recommendations of the Adhoc Committee report beginning with the following three key issues:
 - Reviewing the funding model (at pg: 77, at para 33.1.6)
 - Ensuring the amendment of the legislation, including the Broadcasting Act, 1999 and the Companies Act, 2008 to strengthen the SABC without weakening Parliament's oversight role (at pg: 79, at para 34.3)
 - Regulating the role of the shareholder representative, that is, the Minister of Communications and Digital Technologies, "to ensure against undue encroachment in matters normally reserved for the SABC Board" (at pg 82, at para 39.2.2).



The Separation of Powers: Minister



- The SABC's oversight and governance structures must be clarified.
- In SOS Coalition and others v SABC and Others, 2017 at paragraphs [121] and [124]), the judgment makes it clear that "the effect of section 13(11) is to confer on the Board the exclusive power to control the affairs of the SABC."
- The Minister is accordingly precluded from exercising any powers by which she may control the directors in how they control the affairs of the SABC".

- The role of the Minister as shareholder representative is, essentially, to provide the SABC with the necessary support to meet its public mandate.
- Its role clearly does not extend to micro-managing the Board's decisions or courses of action in relation to the operations of the SABC.
- The Minister remains responsible for policy.

The focus: Enhancing the ability of the SABC to turn the desperate situation around.

Thank You



